

Human rights in developing countries and its relationship with country's economic development

Khalid Abas Rashid Kurachi

Public Law, Erbil, Kurdistan
Email: khalid.abaskurachi@gmail.com

Abstract— *The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship of human rights and economic development in the developing countries. A quantitative method used in order to analyze data gathered by the researcher. The researcher used questionnaire in order to be able to analyze the current study. A random sampling method used, where almost all participants will have equal chances of being selected for the sample. The researcher gathered 161 questionnaires, however 12 questionnaires were invalid and 149 questionnaires were properly completed. The questionnaire structured in the form of multiple choice questions. The finding of this study showed that there is a strong and positive relationship between human right and economic development in developing countries, according the research hypothesis was found to be supported which stated that a developed economic in developing country will have a positive relationship with the protection of human rights.*

Keywords— *Human rights, economic development, developing countries.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The perception of elevated requirements of human rights security has for some time been perceived as one of the recognizing highlights of cutting edge social orders. An unmistakable distinction exists between cutting edge countries and creating nations notwithstanding when we separate between various categories of human rights, for example, common and political rights and financial and social rights. The surviving cross-national and quantitative writing on human rights has looked either expressly at financial elements or certainly as control factors nearby extraordinary arrangements of social, political and social informative factors (Freeman & Staley, 2018). Demonstrate estimations in the writing additionally incorporate controls for settled impacts or for provincial and sub-territorial variety commonly as heartiness checks (Horne, 2018). In this paper, we center expressly around the monetary and geological parts of human rights execution as for physical honesty rights

utilizing a consolidated human rights factor score, local sham factors, and spatial weightings for both the size and vicinity of neighbors. The part of human rights (other than property rights and the control of law) to these pivotal connections in the formative procedure is still, be that as it may, blurred in lack of definition. (Pildes, 2018), indicates a reasonable part for human rights-type factors in advancing financial development. Be that as it may, notwithstanding solid contentions that political foundations underlie the neediness traps plaguing numerous nations, there has still been moderately little examination of the part of human rights other than property rights in managing such traps. To some degree, this may mirror the impact of the 'institutional school' contending that human rights are to some degree insignificant to the formative procedure, where they are believed to be a 'decent' which wealthier nations supply to their populace. Against this, we can set (Kuruvilla, et al. 2018), contention for a wide range of human rights. For this, issues, for example, opportunity, reasonableness and correspondence are all of equivalent significance and social capital (which is accepted to include components of both monetary and noneconomic rights) positively affects welfare and development. Somewhere in the range of conditional proof for contention has originated from (Duflo, 2012), who discovered positive connections between both property rights and non-monetary human rights and improvement. Why and when do governments mishandle human rights? For quite a few years, analysts have endeavored to answer this inquiry by investigating the political, financial, lawful, and social factors that seem to influence governments' basic leadership. This examination motivation has yielded a few vital discoveries: Governments have a tendency to quell human rights with a specific end goal to keep up control when they see dangers from contradicting groups. Majority rule governments tend to direct less such misuse than despotic governments. Suppression is less predominant in wealthier and littler nations, while increments in infringement of human rights are likely amid times of common war. Lawful organizations additionally seem to factor into these choices:

nations with free judiciaries are less inclined to disregard human rights, and legitimized human rights seem to decrease constraint. Standardizing factors are likewise pivotal, and support bunches depend on the energy of standards to change social qualities with respect to human rights rehearses. While the huge writing on the suppression of human rights offers a wide range of points of view, one shared trait among researchers with here and there clashing perspectives is the emphasis on the manners by which exhibit day factors influence human rights rehearses. Researchers who center on auxiliary elements give speculations and observational proof with respect to the connection between contemporary legitimate, political, and monetary factors and contemporary levels of suppression. The individuals who center on standards dissect how contemporary social and social qualities, characters, and thoughts influence the regard for human rights.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Human rights

The reach of human rights considers has a tremendous writing in the practices, reasoning, political science, worldwide law, and additionally financial matters of human rights. (Aker & Mbiti, 2010), has been a long standing protector of human rights in morals and financial matters, and has contributed much to the field of human rights examines. (Mansilla & Jackson, 2011), have scrutinized "standard" financial structures for fail to consider to the inborn and instrumental estimation of human rights, asserting that prevailing methodologies in monetary examinations have focused on monetary procedures and results barely deciphered as far as utility with no particular affirmation of basic flexibilities and human rights. (Sachs, 2012), contends that "political freedom and common flexibilities are specifically essential all alone, and don't need to be legitimized in a roundabout way as far as their impact on the economy". Furthermore, he additionally contends that insurance of human rights is productivity upgrading in that more prominent opportunity improves the capacity of individuals to encourage themselves. As (Cingranelli, & Richards, 2010), contends, the characteristic estimation of security of human rights is an indispensable piece of human welfare, and can't be overemphasized. For instance, insurance against torment, discretionary capture and the privilege to political support can be seen as products of greatly high esteem. In reality, finding the inherent estimation of assurance of the different kinds of human rights is an interesting report in itself. The feedback that financial specialists have a tendency to overlook human rights' inborn esteem is a completely substantial feedback of this

examination. In any case, as I would like to think, the instrumental estimation of human rights has additionally been understudied by financial experts. This proposition is an endeavor to add to the last field. It will center exclusively on the instrumental estimation of human rights assurance, through customary monetary measures of welfare (Coalter, 2010). How does insurance of human rights influence development? Which channels do they work through, assuming any? I will endeavor to portray a portion of the more conceivable channels underneath. Be that as it may, first I will take a gander at a portion of the switch causality instruments. This paper expects to research how practices of some human rights influence financial development. In any case, it is likely that monetary development influences practices of human rights, and that we have a two-way causality. This may prompt one-sided estimators in the exact examination. Before advancing into the primary investigation on how human rights insurance influences development, I will in this way think about the switch case: how does monetary development influence the security of human rights? An early endeavor to research the causes and connections between human rights infringement, estimated through torment and political detainment, and political and financial elements (Chang, 2011). The creators question whether the poorest countries are the most noticeably bad violators of human rights, if the most noticeably awful violators have a specific frontier foundation, and whether more up to date countries are more inclined to quell. The article endeavors to test these theories utilizing bivariate factual investigation for a predetermined number of nations. The creators find that the monetary variables have more illustrative use than the political components. They discover restricted help for the postulation that poor nations are more inclined to suppression, and that lone nations with high wage do well on human rights. A later observational examination of the reasons for human rights infringement was attempted by (Appel, 2018). Utilizing pooled cross-sectional time arrangement information, the creators relapsed various logical factors on measures of human rights rehearses, among them level of GDP and development of GDP. The creators anticipate that level of GDP will positively affect the security against human rights infringement, since nations with higher earnings are more averse to confront local disobedience to which nation pioneers may react with constraint. Nonetheless, they contend that the impact of financial development on human rights practices may not be clear. On one hand, monetary development creates a bigger economy, so nations confront less asset imperatives that may prompt residential disobedience. Then again: "Financial development would expand suppression since it builds the quantity of

déclassé people and gatherings that are most inclined to advance precariousness" (Horne, 2018). The creators contend that these two impacts pull in inverse ways. A past report embraced by the creators demonstrated no noteworthy impacts of development on human rights honours. The evaluated impact of level of GDP is exceptionally huge and positive on human rights rehearses, so a higher local pay level is related with better practices. The assessed impact of GDP development is critical at the 1% level in one of their particulars, and positive, however the evaluated coefficient isn't huge. Indeed, even an amazingly high development rate every year would effects affect human rights honours (Cingranelli & Filippov, 2018).

Economic Development

Research on the connection amongst advancement and the assurance of human rights can be sorted out in no less than two gatherings: to begin with, articles that address the results of financial improvement for rights insurance too essentially, second, articles that emphasis on particular instruments of monetary improvement, for example, outside venture, special exchange understandings, World Bank and International Monetary Fund programs, global guide – and their effect on the security of human rights (Savelsberg, 2018). In the two cases, the writing is managing original human rights, and, generally, rights to physical trustworthiness. I will take after this structure and present research that has moved the way researchers have customarily taken a gander at political structures, improvement and prosperity, trailed by several articles that examine the effect of particular instruments of advancement on rights protection (Zembylas, 2018). It leaves from the suspicion that each pioneer needs to stay in control and that along these lines political survival is there as a matter of first importance inclination. The choices pioneers make in a commonwealth are arranged towards securing his or her political survival, and the choice to ensure the privileges of people under their locale is no special case. Keeping that in mind, human rights insurance falls under the class of open merchandise in that assurance of those rights meets the criteria on non-excludability and non-equal utilization. In straightforward terms, once these products are influenced accessible anybody in the commonwealth to can make the most of their advantages; additionally, utilization (or delight) by one gathering does not decrease the supply of the useful for different gatherings (Talbot & Carter, 2018). In light of these preliminaries, each country comprises of a gathering of occupants, some of whom have the ability to participate in choosing who the pioneer will be. People who can take an interest in the determination of the pioneer have a place in a gathering called the electorate. With a specific end

goal to stay in control, the pioneer needs the help of a triumphant coalition or the gathering of people drawn from the electorate, whose help is fundamental if the pioneer is to remain in control. The triumphant coalition can be little – as in most tyrant administrations – or very substantial, such as choosing dominant parts in a majority rules system. As indicated by the model, pioneers managing a little winning coalition will compensate these people with private merchandise in return for their help (Thuon, 2018). Private products are satisfactory here in light of the fact that the gathering of recipients is little, else it would turn out to be restrictively costly to do as such. In huge winning coalition administrations, as most majority rules systems seem to be, on account of pioneers can't repay their steadfast supporters with private products they swing to the arrangement of open merchandise. Human rights insurance is one among different types of pay that fall under general society great class. It is straightforward how the creators represent the way that the level of human rights security is higher among majority rules systems – accurately the administrations that are described by substantial winning coalitions. Another relevant commitment, drawing specifically from their exploration, identifies with the dynamic part of their model, particularly in regards to monetary advancement. They indicate how substantial winning coalition administrations are for the most part corresponded with higher GDP per capita and development rates, while little winning coalition administrations have a tendency to be poorer, by and large (Vadlamannati, et al. 2018). As the span of the triumphant coalition develops, for instance, amid changes to majority rules system, development rates psychologist and GDP per capita goes down – even beneath prior little winning coalition levels! This finding shows that the "street to majority rules system" is tricky and can avoid into dictator breaks effortlessly. This outcome runs as one with the examination of the results of democratization for the insurance of human rights offered in the past segment. By and by, once a country achieves a specific limit, development resumes and GDP per capita mirrors the new situation. Results from this examination plan convey shrewd admonitions to researchers and policymakers working with human rights security in transitional social orders. Responsibilities regarding larger amounts of security might be – and regularly are – self-serving to the initiative, which should battle declining financial execution as an outcome of the move from an accentuation on the arrangement of private products to the arrangement of open merchandise, human rights included. A nuanced talk inside a similar general system is offered by (De Wet, 2018). As the title of the article, Development and Democracy, recommends, the

writers try to research whether the regular knowledge that monetary improvement definitely prompts democratization remains constant. They start by taking a gander at illustrations that resist generally held convictions with respect to the connection amongst improvement and majority rule government: Russia and China are the guilty parties. In these two cases, one sees unflinching financial development unmatched by huge enhancements along the majority rule scale – with very much reported ramifications for human rights insurance (Anyanwu, 2018). They continue to contend that pioneers have progressed toward becoming progressively canny with regards to overseeing development spurts and overflows to keep the strengthening and preparation of the working classes, which, agreeing the conventional story, would achieve democratization and responsibility. Pioneers do as such by separating between coordination products and customary open merchandise. The previous are basic to advancement, while the last are vital to empowering strengthening and activation – at last achieving democratization. To be clearer, coordination products include things like open advanced education, political rights, opportunity of press and human rights, all the more extensively. Customary open merchandise, in their investigation, comprise of things like framework, general wellbeing, essential training, transportation, and security. These are basic for monetary movement to flourish and blossom. Diminishing the arrangement of this merchandise would antagonistically affect financial development itself. So pioneers pick the better of the two universes: development, which repays their triumphant coalitions, in the customary non-equal utilization design, with the political the present state of affairs that outcomes from a consider push to end political assembly through the withholding of coordination products. Pioneers' worries with their political survival are the key idea in this exploration motivation. The model difficulties the outdated perspective of vote based system as a heuristic resource, to show that pioneers representing an equitable nation are no less self-intrigued than those heading a tyrant administration (Grabe, 2018). Systems for political survival will differ for each situation, with critical results for human rights insurance. In any case, the researcher and professional might need to watch out for his or her arrangement objectives, marking down the talk that is frequently present in just talk. Incredulity is additionally the expression of request in the three articles that I talk about straightaway, which manage financial instruments and their viability in accomplishing enhancements in rights security. The principal article, by (Fariss, 2018), examines whether particular exchange understandings that address human rights affect the level of rights assurance in the objective nation.

She talks about two systems of impact that are all around investigated in the writing: influence and intimidation. Influence is generally considered and upheld among constructivist global relations researchers, for whom the inclinations of states are always being formed by different states engaged with the procedure. As it were, inclinations and decisions of activity are socially impacted. Influence is a conspicuous component to achieve congruity with standards and tenets. Then again, the second instrument examined in the article, compulsion, draws from a pragmatist way to deal with state conduct, nearer to the pragmatist and institutionalism universal relations grant. Intimidation assumes the capacity to force a tenable danger and the way to execute it. It likewise requires that the risk speak to an important misfortune for the objective nation. According to (Patel & Bhui, 2018), exploration configuration offers abundant chance to watch the two components at work, as her dataset of special exchange economic developments consulted by the European Union and the United States with different nations thinks about the two systems. To be sure, a few economic developments incorporate a delicate condition whereby states that are entering the understanding focus on enhancing the circumstance of human rights in their domain. In these delicate understandings, there is no punishment for neglecting to go along. The other arrangement of economic developments, purported hard understandings, incorporate a similar human rights proviso, and however condition the continuation of the consent to genuine upgrades in the level of rights security. As such, if the objective nation does not enhance the circumstance of human rights in its region, it will lose the exchange benefits related with the economic development (Adonis, 2018).

Relationship between human rights and economic development

According to (Simmons, 2018), the primary sort of market unconstrained, he additionally partition the second kind of business sectors into two subsets: irrepressible and socially thought up. The previous are markets where the increases from exchange to the two sides are sufficiently huge that they work at an abnormal state of exchanges even without corresponding foundations, e.g., the business sectors for illicit products and enterprises. The last are markets where the nonattendance of correlative organizations keeps them from working at an abnormal state of exchanges. For, this nonappearance prompts generous increments in the hazard to the increases from exchange by members on either side of the market. These dangers are to such an extent that even possibly huge additions from exchange can be deficient for these business sectors to exist or work at an abnormal state of exchanges where they do figure out how to exist, e.g., money

related markets. A correlative foundation required for socially thought up business sectors to exist and capacity at an abnormal state, as per (Fournier & Ortiz, 2018), is the presence of agreement authorization components. According to (Meier & Gostin, 2018), contends that another correlative foundation for this sort of market to flourish is the nonattendance of predation by government over nationals. As per (Sanders, 2018), describes this fundamental organization as 4 responsibilities regarding the run of law and contends that security of human rights is the best pointer of this dedication. In a different strand of writing (Clément, 2018), require the need to unbundle foundations, separate between property rights organizations and contracting establishments and connect the previous with obliging government and first class seizure of different gatherings. Experimentally, (Chan, 2018), go ahead to demonstrate that what is important for monetary development are property rights foundations, estimated as Constraints on the Executive, and not contracting organizations, estimated as Legal Formalism. (Freeman & Staley, 2018), utilize an indistinguishable procedure from these creators with the as of late disaggregated records of common freedoms by Freedom House. This enables them to show that second era human rights, in particular those related with monetary and social decision, are much more capable in clarifying financial development or the level of tasks of speculation products markets than some other factors utilized as a part of the writing. These incorporate, among others, the measures utilized by (Pildes, 2018), original human rights, for instance flexibility of articulation and opportunity of gathering, and political rights. A couple of different strands of writing encroach upon our subject in a more extraneous manner and we address them quickly before building up our contentions. One strand is the customary human rights writing. An issue that has emerged in this writing is the way to total and relate numerous measurements of human rights and different measurements of results of intrigue, e.g., measures of financial prosperity, in a sensible way from the factual perspective. Here the concentration lies in disaggregating measurements of human rights and on relating every one of them to the standard measure of monetary prosperity in the financial aspects (Duflo, 2012).

III. RESEARCH METHOD

The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship of human rights and economic development in the developing countries. A quantitative method used in order to analyze data gathered by the researcher. The researcher used questionnaire in order to be able to analyze the current study. A random sampling method used, where almost all

participants will have equal chances of being selected for the sample. The researcher gathered 161 questionnaires, however 12 questionnaires were invalid and 149 questionnaires were properly completed. The questionnaire structured in the form of multiple choice questions. The participants were asked to mark each item on five point scales ranging from definitely agree to do not agree at all. According the main research aim, the researcher set a hypothesis to measure the relationship between human rights and economic development by stating that a developed economic in developing country will have a positive relationship with the protection of human rights. However, the researcher distrusted questionnaires randomly, based on the demographic analysis it was found that; In terms of participants' gender 78% were male and 22% were female. In terms of participants' age, 18.1% of participants fall into group 18-25 years old, 26.8% of participants fall into group 26-32 years old, 32.2% of participants fall into group of 33-38 years old, and 15.4% of participants fall into group of 39-45 years old and 7.4% of participants fall into group of 45 years old and above. In terms of year (s) of experiences 24.8% of participants had 1-2 years of experience(s), 33.6% of participants 3-4 years of experiences 26.2% of participants had 5-6 years of experiences, 9.4% of participants had 7-8 years of experiences and 6% of participants had 8 years and above of experiences. And finally, concerning participants' level of education, 14.1% of participants had obtained diploma certificate, 74.5% of participants had obtained bachelor degree and 11.4% of participants had obtained Master degree.

Analyzing the collected data

Table.1: Reliability statistics

Factors	Reliability statistics Cranach's Alpha	Number of used items
Human rights	.812	12
Economic development	.734	12

Table (1) shows Reliability test for human right as independent variable and economic development as dependent variable. Concerning human rights the Statistics Cranach's Alpha $\hat{\rho}$ =.812, this indicates that 12 questions used to measure human rights were reliable for this study, moreover, concerning of economic development reliability Statistics Cranach's Alpha $\hat{\rho}$ =.734, also indicates that 12 questions used to measure economic development were reliable for this study.

Table.2: Correlation Analysis

Factors	Pearson correlation	Economic development

Human right	Pearson correlation	.758 **
	Sing. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	149
** correlation is significant at the level 0.01 level (2-tailed)		

($r=.758^{**}$, $p<0.01$) with economic development in developing countries.

According to correlation test as seen in table (2), the researcher found that human right has significant correlation

Table.3: Model summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.801(a)	.747	.48	.891

a Predictors: (constant), human right

Table.3: ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	112.312	7	21.321	61.231	.563
Residual	28,192	102	.203		
Total	140.504	109			

Table.4: Multiple regression Analysis

	Unstandardized coefficients		Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(constant)	21.213	.612		.9189	.000
Human rights	.712	.04	.719	3.124	.000
Dependent : economic development					

Table (4) explains the results research hypothesis. The research hypothesis which stated that a developed economic in developing country will have a positive relationship with the protection of human rights. The result showed that the value of B for human right is .712 this indicates that there is a strong and positive relationship between human right and economic development in developing countries, according the research hypothesis was found to be supported which stated that a developed economic in developing country will have a positive relationship with the protection of human rights.

IV. CONCLUSION

What human rights can accomplish being developed identifies with the production of a space of security and respect around the human individual from where improvement can push ahead. At the level concerning the most critical performers being developed, i.e., the human on-screen characters and their fundamental occupation security and association with experts and power, human rights and advancement are commonly strengthening. Human rights can serve to think the focal point of improvement around the

human individual. There are hence solid linkages between a human improvement worldview and human rights. In the 1990s, human rights and advancement endeavors were united as the fundamental desires and destinations inside the two regions changed, and as another worldwide request, with human rights as one of its constituting qualities, were built up. This has impacted how the primary propensities inside the two orders have been figured, and how NGOs have connected human rights and improvement help. In the meantime, it mirrors a positive propensity that is being conveyed forward by nonwestern mindsets that prevail with regards to incorporating financial and strengthening targets, in any event on the level of ideas. This explore note talked about the current grant inside the field of global political economy that has examined different variables appeared to affect the level of human rights security – concentrating on rights to physical uprightness. The article systematized this writing into two general classes: look into managing the results of majority rules system and democratization for human rights security, and research managing improvement and related monetary instruments for the level of rights assurance. The discoveries in the two classes of writing

challenge tried and true way of thinking established in the considerate outcomes credited to both majority rules system and improvement. Fundamentally through quantitative examinations, the creators point to a few unintended unfavorable results of vote based system (and democratization) and in addition improvement for the insurance of human rights. A superior comprehension of the causal components behind a portion of these results may help analysts in the mission for suggestions that can effectively alleviate – if not take out – these unfriendly results. We want to have added to this exertion by welcoming scientists to investigate the issue. The way to accomplish a sensible combination between human rights and advancement exercises identify with making of the two privileges and procedures of strengthening. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights sets up qualifications to essential expectations for everyday comforts, essential human services, and fundamental training alongside various other rights. But these privileges are presently coordinated towards country expresses that are frequently hampered by absence of assets, bungle, and confinements forced by global mediations. The extent of qualifications must be expanded to coordinate rights towards loci of genuine power effort. This incorporates the country state, yet additionally worldwide associations and firms that occasionally impact human rights acknowledgment to an extensively more grounded degree than country states alone. Such a suggestion raises issues of sway, yet one method for managing this issue is to enlarge the extent of responsibility for human rights usage by making contributors and worldwide associations, NGOs and firms co-in charge of certain base financial, social, and social benchmarks. In the event that such an extent of duty is acknowledged, new controls for United Nations checking of human rights usage are required. Such controls can flourish in an infringement approach as recommended previously. A moment intends to improve the mix of human rights and advancement exercises are to utilize human rights in a procedure of strengthening. Minimization has a rights measurement that is fundamental to the living states of poor and powerless individuals. Among these individuals, three variables win in their communication with a formal arrangement of equity: 1) absence of learning about and confide in specialists, and absence of conviction that one has rights in connection to them, 2) absence of information about one's rights, and 3) absence of monetary and physical access to present day law (it is both excessively costly, too far away and furthermore regularly works on different premises than those that are privately acknowledged). Fighting exemption and defilement and enhancing access to equity hence stay imperative for a system to advance strengthening. A moment

leg of a methodology of strengthening is the empowering of underestimated populaces to request their rights. Aside from upgraded access to formal frameworks of equity, learning about rights is consequently pivotal. What is focal in such a technique is by all accounts a changing worldview of equity that is not so much legitimate but rather more social—which moves some portion of the talk on human rights from the courts and the best establishments to territories where there is a critical requirement for both insurance and satisfaction of human rights.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adonis, C. K. (2018). Generational victimhood in post-apartheid South Africa: Perspectives of descendants of victims of apartheid era gross human rights violations. *International Review of Victimology*, 24(1), 47-65.
- [2] Aker, J. C., & Mbiti, I. M. (2010). Mobile phones and economic development in Africa. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24(3), 207-32.
- [3] Anyanwu, C. (2018). Fear of communicating fear versus fear of terrorism: A human rights violation or a sign of our time?. *International journal of speech-language pathology*, 1-8.
- [4] Appel, B. J. (2018). In the Shadow of the International Criminal Court: Does the ICC Deter Human Rights Violations?. *Journal of conflict resolution*, 62(1), 3-28.
- [5] Chan, S. (2018). Principle Versus Profit: Debating Human Rights Sanctions. *Human Rights Review*, 1-27.
- [6] Chang, H. J. (2011). Institutions and economic development: theory, policy and history. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 7(4), 473-498.
- [7] Cingranelli, D. L., & Richards, D. L. (2010). The Cingranelli and Richards (CIRI) human rights data project. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 32(2), 401-424.
- [8] Cingranelli, D., & Filippov, M. (2018). Problems of Model Specification and Improper Data Extrapolation. *British Journal of Political Science*, 48(1), 273-274.
- [9] Clément, D. (2018). Human rights or social justice? The problem of rights inflation. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 22(2), 155-169.
- [10] Coalter, F. (2010). The politics of sport-for-development: Limited focus programmes and broad gauge problems?. *International review for the sociology of sport*, 45(3), 295-314.
- [11] De Wet, E. (2018). Complicity in violations of human rights and humanitarian law by incumbent governments through direct military assistance on

- request. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 1-27.
- [12] Duflo, E. (2012). Women empowerment and economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(4), 1051-79.
- [13] Fariss, C. J. (2018). The changing standard of accountability and the positive relationship between human rights treaty ratification and compliance. *British Journal of Political Science*, 48(1), 239-271.
- [14] Fournier, M. D. L., & Ortiz, C. (2018). Human Rights Feelings and Opinions from Mexico City. *Public Integrity*, 1-15.
- [15] Freeman, L. A., & Staley, B. (2018). The positioning of Aboriginal students and their languages within Australia's education system: A human rights perspective. *International journal of speech-language pathology*, 20(1), 174-181.
- [16] Grabe, S. (2018). 11 Transnational Feminism in Psychology: Women's Human Rights, Liberation, and Social Justice. *The Oxford Handbook of Social Psychology and Social Justice*, 193.
- [17] Horne, J. (2018). Understanding the denial of abuses of human rights connected to sports mega-events. *Leisure Studies*, 37(1), 11-21.
- [18] Horne, J. (2018). Understanding the denial of abuses of human rights connected to sports mega-events. *Leisure Studies*, 37(1), 11-21.
- [19] Kuruvilla, S., Sadana, R., Montesinos, E. V., Beard, J., Vasdeki, J. F., de Carvalho, I. A., ... & Koller, T. (2018). A life-course approach to health: synergy with sustainable development goals. *Sustainable development*, 96(1).
- [20] Mansilla, V. B., & Jackson, A. (2011). Educating for global competency. *New York: Asia Society*. Retrieved from: <http://asiasociety.org/files/book-globalcompetence.pdf> McLaren, P.(1993). *Multiculturalism and the postmodern critique: Towards a pedagogy of resistance and transformation*. *Cultural Studies*, 7(1), 118-146.
- [21] Meier, B. M., & Gostin, L. O. (2018). Framing Human Rights in Global Health Governance. *Human Rights in Global Health Governance: Rights-Based Governance for a Globalizing World*, 63-86.
- [22] Patel, V., & Bhui, K. (2018). Unchaining people with mental disorders: medication is not the solution. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 212(1), 6-8.
- [23] Pildes, R. H. (2018). Supranational Courts and The Law of Democracy: The European Court of Human Rights. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, 9(2), 154-179.
- [24] Sachs, J. D. (2012). From millennium development goals to sustainable development goals. *The Lancet*, 379(9832), 2206-2211.
- [25] Sanders, R. (2018). Human rights abuses at the limits of the law: Legal instabilities and vulnerabilities in the 'Global War on Terror'. *Review of International Studies*, 44(1), 2-23.
- [26] Savelsberg, J. J. (2018). Punitive turn and justice cascade: Mutual inspiration from Punishment and Society and human rights literatures. *Punishment & Society*, 20(1), 73-91.
- [27] Simmons, A. J. (2018). The domestic origins of human rights trials: A case study of the Second Boer War. *Journal of Human Rights*, 17(1), 58-74.
- [28] Talbot, A., & Carter, T. F. (2018). Human rights abuses at the Rio 2016 Olympics: activism and the media. *Leisure Studies*, 37(1), 77-88.
- [29] Thuon, R. (2018). Holding corporations from middle countries accountable for human rights violations: a case study of the Vietnamese company investment in Cambodia. *Globalizations*, 15(1), 152-167.
- [30] Vadlamannati, K. C., Janz, N., & Berntsen, Ø. I. (2018). Human rights shaming and FDI: effects of the UN Human Rights Commission and Council. *World Development*, 104, 222-237.
- [31] Zembylas, M. (2018). Political depression, cruel optimism and pedagogies of reparation: Questions of criticality and affect in human rights education. *Critical Studies in Education*, 59(1), 1-17.